#### SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

## SUBJECT MARCO PARRA, #

Witness was continually harassing her. The complaint resulted in an administrative investigation, IV# peing initiated. Significant facts were presented to Captain Michael Smith which caused him to believe that he needed to present Subject Parra with an order in writing to stay away from as well as a companion of hers, Subject Parra was presented with this order, which he signed on September 25, 2005.
On January 27, 2006, called the Internal Affairs investigator, Sergeant Hill, who was investigating her complaint and informed him that she had just seen Subject Parra near her residence in Long Beach.
Witness said that at approximately 1630 hours, January 27, 2006, she had been walking to her personal vehicle, which was parked on the street approximately two blocks from her residence at East Ocean Avenue. She saw a slightly raised white pick-up type truck parked behind her vehicle. Subject Parra got out of the truck wearing sunglasses and a hat and walked toward her. Witness reported being frightened and shocked as Subject Parra walked by her.
Witness said that the driver of the vehicle quickly pulled away. Due to Subject Parra's history of damaging her vehicle, she became very concerned at the possibility that her vehicle had just been vandalized. She looked to see where Subject Parra had gone, but lost sight of him. She walked around her vehicle to look for obvious damage. Witness did not notice anything unusual. She got into her vehicle and began to drive away. As she backed up, she heard what she described as a "thumping" noise. While subsequently circling the block, she heard a loud "pop"; and her tire quickly lost air.
She parked her vehicle and called Sergeant Hill. The next day, Saturday, January 28, 2006, she had her vehicle towed to get the tire repaired. She was told that the tire had been punctured with a sharp object.
On Monday, January 30, 2006, after speaking with Sergeant Hill, she called Long Beach Police Department and reported the incident to Security Office, who completed a vandalism report under file #06-8467, but did not write a detailed narrative.
On April 13, 2006, Subject Parra was interviewed regarding this issue. During this interview, he stated that he understood that he had been ordered to make no contact with

He denied being in the City of Long Beach on January 27, 2006. He said that on Friday afternoon, at the time in question, he had been at home alone. He may have talked to his

#### SUBJECT MARCO PARRA, #

he did not remember seeing anyone. Subject Parra provided page seven of a Verizon cell phone bill for January 27, 2006. It listed call number 120 that showed the telephone number the same and had been dialed on his cell phone while in the proximity of the City of La Habra, at 1602 hours. The call lasted less than one minute. Subject Parra stated that he believed he could not have been in La Habra at 1602 hours and then be seen by Witness approximately 1630 hours. He pointed out that on Friday afternoon there is rush hour traffic. He also provided a "Rand McNally" directory showing that the distance from his resident to Witness residence is 25 miles. When asked who he had called at the number Subject Parra stated that he did not know, however, it looked to him like a commercial number. Subject Parra was asked if he knew anyone with a white truck, possibly a flat bed, to which he replied, no. On April 13, 2006, Lieutenant Relyea called the telephone number and learned that it was commercial laundry business. On April 18, 2006, Sergeant Daniel Jackson went to S. Main Street in Los Angeles where he spoke with Miss who worked at the commercial laundry business. She agreed to speak to him. She identified herself as a of Subject Parra. She stated that on one else at her job knew Subject Para existed. She stated that, although she ended their several years ago, she continues to get unwanted telephone call from him. She has told him on numerous occasions to leave her alone and not to call her again. Due to his relentless pursuit, she was forced to move from her residence and close her cell phone account. said that the last time she actually spoke to Subject Parra was in mid-December. It is her practice to hand up on him immediately when he calls. She was not aware of the January 27, 2006 call; there were several other people at the business who may have answered the phone.

On April 20, 2006, Subject Parra's was interviewed. She stated that she did not remember whether she saw Subject Parra at home on January 27, 2006. She could not remember the date specifically. During the interview it was noted that she had a white Ford mini pick-up truck in front of her house which belonged to her roommate. Her next door neighbor also had a white mini pick-up truck parked in his driveway. Digital photographs were taken of each vehicle.

#### SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

-3-

#### SUBJECT MARCO PARRA, #

On April 24, 2006, Witness was interviewed. Witness was shown photographs of both pick-up trucks. She stated that they were each similar to the type of vehicle she saw Subject Parra get out of, however she did not think either truck was the vehicle she had seen.

Witness said that she had traveled to her home from Subject Parra's residence on several occasions during rush hour traffic on a Friday afternoon. She stated that the travel time is unpredictable. Witness said that she has made the trip on Friday afternoons in 25 to 30 minutes on some occasions; however, on other occasions, it has taken her over an hour.

Verizon Wireless was contacted and confirmed the validity of the January 27, 2006, call number 12, as originating for the La Habra area to the Los Angeles area. The technician explained that the record indicates the call had been made somewhere in the vicinity of the La Habra tower site.

Long Beach Police Department Security Officer was contacted via telephone on April 26, 2006. She stated she did not remember the specifics of complaint report #06-8467 which she reviewed. The narrative is very limited. When asked if Witness mentioned Subject Parra in her complaint, she was unable to say. She did not remember the incident. However, she stated in instances where there are specific known details regarding a suspect, Long Beach Police Department will generally dispatch a patrol officer and not take a report over the telephone, as they did in this case.



# County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Headquarters



4700 Ramona Boulevard Monterey Park, California 91754-2169

July 13, 2006

Mr. Marco Parra					

Mr. Parra:

On June 21, 2006, you were discharged from your position of Deputy Sheriff, Item No. 2708A, with this Department, as a result of combined administrative investigations under File Numbers IAB and However, it is also the intention of the Sheriff's Department to discharge you as a result of IAB Investigation Number 2164643, effective at the close of business on August 3, 2006.

An investigation under File Number IAB 2164643, conducted by Industry Station, coupled with your own statements, has established the following:

- 1. That in violation of Manual of Policy and Procedures Sections 3-01/030.10, Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders and/or 3-01/030.05, General Behavior, on or about January 27, 2006, at approximately 1630 hours, while off duty, you failed to follow a direct order given to you, in writing, on September 25, 2005, by Captain Smith, to have no further contact with Ms.

  after she filed a complaint of harassment against you. You disregarded Captain Smith's orders by going to Ms.

  residence in Long Beach and vandalizing her vehicle. By your actions, you have brought discredit upon yourself and the Sheriff's Department.
- 2. That in violation of Manual of Policy and Procedures Sections 3-01/040.70, False Statements and/or 3-01/040.75, Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During an Internal Investigation, on or about April 13, 2006, while being interviewed in a Departmental Internal Investigation, you made false and/or

A Tradition of Service Since 1850

misleading statements, including but not limited to, denying that the telephone call you placed at 1602 hours on January 27, 2006, which appeared on your cell phone bill and was used as an alibi for your whereabouts on that date, was that of another Witness

You may respond to the intended action orally or in writing. In the event that you choose to respond orally to these charges, you have already been scheduled to meet with Chief Marvin Cavanaugh on August 1, 2006, at 1000 hours, in his office, which is located at Sheriff's Headquarters Building, 4700 Ramona Boulevard, Monterey Park, on the 4<sup>th</sup> Floor. If you are unable to appear at the scheduled time and wish to schedule some other time prior to August 1, 2006, for your oral response, please call Chief Cavanaugh's secretary at for an appointment.

If you choose to respond in writing, please call Chief Cavanaugh's secretary to cancel your scheduled appointment, and send your response to the facts contained in this letter to Chief Cavanaugh's office by no later than August 1, 2006.

Failure to respond to this Letter of Intent within fifteen (15) business days will be considered a waiver of your right to respond and will result in the imposition of the discipline indicated herein.

If you did not receive the investigative material on which this action is based at the time you were served with this correspondence, you may contact the Internal Affairs Bureau at (323) 890-5300, to obtain a copy of the case file.

The Sheriff's Department reserves the right to amend and/or add to this letter.

Sincerely,

LEROY D. BACA, SHERIFF

Karyn Mannis, Captain

Commander, Internal Affairs Bureau

Note: Attached for your convenience are excerpts of the applicable areas of the Manual of Policy and Procedures.

### Deputy Marco Parra, #

#### KM:lh

c: Advocacy Unit Employee Relations Unit Chief Marvin Cavanaugh, FORIII Internal Affairs Bureau Office of Independent Review (OIR) (File #2164643)



# County of Los Angeles

Sheriff's Department Headquarters 4700 Ramona Boulevard Monterey Park, California 91754–2169



August 3, 2006

Mr. Marco Parra

Mr. Parra:

On July 13, 2006, you were served with a Letter of Intention indicating your right to respond to the Sheriff's Department's pending disciplinary action against you, as reported under File Number IAB 2164643. You were also advised of your right to review the material on which the discipline was based.

You did exercise your right to respond. However, after review and consideration of the response submitted to support your position, your former Division Chief determined that the recommended discipline is appropriate.

You are hereby notified that you are discharged from your former position of Deputy Sheriff, Item No. 2708A, with this Department, effective as of the close of business on August 3, 2006.

An investigation under File Number IAB 2164643, conducted by Internal Affairs Bureau, coupled with your own statements, has established the following:

1. That in violation of Manual of Policy and Procedures Sections 3-01/030.10, Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders and/or 3-01/030.05, General Behavior, on or about January 27, 2006, at approximately 1630 hours, while off duty, you failed to follow a direct order given to you, in writing, on September 25, 2005, by Captain Smith, to have no further contact with Ms.

after she filed a complaint of harassment against you. You disregarded Captain Smith's orders by going to Ms.

Long Beach and vandalizing her vehicle. By your actions, you have brought discredit upon yourself and the Sheriff's Department.

Mr. Marco Parra 2

2. That in violation of Manual of Policy and Procedures Sections 3-O1/040.70, False Statements and/or 3-01/040.75, Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During an Internal Investigation, on or about April 13, 2006, while being interviewed in a Departmental Internal Investigation, you made false and/or misleading statements, including but not limited to, denying that the telephone call you placed at 1602 hours on January 27, 2006, which appeared on your cell phone bill and was used as an alibi for your whereabouts on that date, was that of another Witness

In taking this disciplinary action, your prior record with this Department has been considered, and a thorough review of this incident has been made by Department executives, including your previous Unit and Division Commanders.

You may appeal the Department's action in this matter pursuant to Rules 4.02, 4.05 and 18.02 of the Civil Service Rules.

You may, if you so desire, within fifteen (15) business days from the date of service of this notice of discharge, request a hearing on these charges before the Los Angeles County Civil Service Commission, 222 North Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90012.

The Sheriff's Department reserves the right to amend and/or add to this letter.

Sincerely,

LEROY D. BACA, SHERIFF

LARRY L. WALDIE UNDERSHERIFF

Note: Attached for your convenience are excerpts of the applicable areas of the Manual of Policy and Procedures and Civil Service Rules.

LLW:WJM:KM:tm

c: Advocacy Unit

Marvin O. Cavanaugh, Chief, Field Operations Region III Michael W. Smith, Captain, Industry Station Internal Affairs Bureau Office of Independent Review (OIR)

Bruce Pollack, Captain, Personnel Administration